

Calculus on Schemes - Lecture 7

Tiago J. Fonseca

June 14, 2019

Contents

1 Algebraic de Rham cohomology 2	1
2 The Gauss-Manin connection	4

1 Algebraic de Rham cohomology 2

We now define the de Rham cohomology of an arbitrary morphism of schemes.

Definition 1.1. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, the n th (algebraic) *de Rham cohomology* of X over S is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S) := \mathbf{R}^n \pi_* (\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet)$$

Note that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S)$ is a *sheaf* of \mathcal{O}_S -modules on S . Let us denote

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S) = \Gamma(S, \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S))$$

So that, if $S = \mathrm{Spec} R$ is affine, then $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(X/S) =: H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(X/R)$ is an R -module.

Remark 1.2 (Cohomology with coefficients). To every module with connection (\mathcal{E}, ∇) on X , we can define unique morphisms of abelian sheaves

$$\nabla^i : \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^{i+1}$$

satisfying $\nabla^i(e \otimes \omega) = e \otimes d\omega + (-1)^i \nabla(e) \wedge \omega$. The connection $\nabla = \nabla^0$ is integrable if and only if (exercise!)

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\nabla^0} \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla^1} \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

is a complex. In this case, we define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S, (\mathcal{E}, \nabla)) := \mathbf{R}^n \pi_* (\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^\bullet).$$

Example 1.3. Suppose that S is separated and that $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is affine. Then $\Omega_{X/S}^i$ is acyclic for π_* for every i (Serre's theorem), and we get

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S) = H^n(\pi_* \Omega_{X/S}^\bullet).$$

In particular, when $S = \mathrm{Spec} R$, we have

$$H_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/R) = H^n(\Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/R}^\bullet))$$

and we recover our original definition of the de Rham cohomology for smooth affine schemes over a field.

Let us assume that $\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet$ is bounded and consider the *stupid filtration* $(\sigma_{\geq p}\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet)_{p \geq 0}$ on $\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet$. Here, $\sigma_{\geq p}\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet$ is the subcomplex of $\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet$ obtained by a truncation at degree p :

$$\sigma_{\geq p}\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet : \quad 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^p \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^{p+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

This is a finite filtration with graded pieces

$$\sigma_{\geq p}\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet / \sigma_{\geq p+1}\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet = \Omega_{X/S}^p[-p]$$

Thus it gives rise to a spectral sequence, so called *Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence*¹,

$$E_1^{p,q} = R^q\pi_*\Omega_{X/S}^p \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^{p+q}(X/S).$$

The induced filtration on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^n(X/S)$ is called the *Hodge filtration*:

$$F^i\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^n(X/S) = \text{im}(\mathbf{R}^n\pi_*(\sigma_{\geq i}\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^n\pi_*(\Omega_{X/S}^\bullet)).$$

Example 1.4 (Curves). Let k be a field and X be a smooth, projective, and geometrically connected curve over k . The first page of the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence is:

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{H^1(d)} H^1(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1)$$

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{H^0(d)} H^0(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1)$$

where $d : \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1$ is the differential. Since $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$, we have $H^0(d) = 0$. Now, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{H^1(d)} & H^1(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ H^0(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1)^\vee & \xrightarrow{H^0(d)^\vee} & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^\vee \end{array}$$

where the vertical isomorphisms are given by Serre duality. In particular, $H^1(d) = 0$. This proves that the Hodge to de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at page 1. It follows that:

1. $H_{\text{dR}}^0(X/k) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$
2. $F^1H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/k) \cong H^0(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1)$ and $H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/k)/F^1H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/k) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.
3. $H_{\text{dR}}^2(X/k) = F^1H_{\text{dR}}^2(X/k) \cong H^1(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1) \cong k$

In particular $\dim H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/k) = 2g$, where g is the genus of X .

Remark 1.5. One can also obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1) \longrightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/k) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow 0$$

from the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to the short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet[-1] \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0.$$

¹It follows from an exercise from last lecture that $\mathbf{R}^{p+q}\pi_*\Omega_{X/S}^p[-p] = R^q\pi_*\Omega_{X/S}^p$.

Exercise 1.6. Prove analogous results in the relative situation, i.e., when $X \rightarrow S$ is a projective smooth morphisms of relative dimension 1.

Exercise 1.7. Prove that $H_{\text{dR}}^{\text{odd}}(\mathbf{P}_k^n/k) = 0$ and $H_{\text{dR}}^{\text{even}}(\mathbf{P}_k^n/k) \cong k$.

Remark 1.8. In general, when X is smooth and projective over \mathbf{C} , then it follows from Hodge theory and GAGA that

$$H^q(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbf{C}}^p) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^{p+q}(X/\mathbf{C})$$

degenerates at page 1. In particular, if $(F^i)_i$ denotes the Hodge filtration on $H_{\text{dR}}^n(X/\mathbf{C})$, we get canonical isomorphisms

$$F^i/F^{i+1} \cong H^{n-i}(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbf{C}}^i).$$

It is also possible to compute de Rham cohomology via Čech complexes:

Example 1.9. Suppose that X is a separated scheme of finite type over k and consider an affine covering $X = \bigcup_i U_i$. If $j_{i_1 \dots i_p} : U_{i_1 \dots i_p} \rightarrow X$ denotes the inclusion, then we have a double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i_1, i_2} j_{i_1 i_2, *} \mathcal{O}_{U_{i_1 i_2}} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i_1, i_2} j_{i_1 i_2, *} \Omega_{U_{i_1 i_2}/k}^1 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i_1, i_2} j_{i_1 i_2, *} \Omega_{U_{i_1 i_2}/k}^2 \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_i j_{i, *} \mathcal{O}_{U_i} & \longrightarrow & \prod_i j_{i, *} \Omega_{U_i/k}^1 & \longrightarrow & \prod_i j_{i, *} \Omega_{U_i/k}^2 \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/k}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/k}^2 \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Since the i th vertical complex gives a resolution of $\Omega_{X/k}^i$, we obtain a quasi-isomorphism $\Omega_{X/k}^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Tot}^\bullet$, where Tot^\bullet denotes the total complex of the double Čech complex. Now, using that Tot^i is acyclic for every i , we can obtain fairly explicit descriptions of the de Rham cohomology groups. For instance,

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/k) = \frac{\left\{ ((f_{ij})_{i,j}, (\omega_i)_i) \in \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij}) \oplus \prod_i \Omega_{X/k}^1(U_i) \mid \omega_i - \omega_j = df_{ij} \text{ over } U_{ij} \right\}}{\left\{ (f_i - f_j)_{ij}, (df_i)_i \in \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{O}_X(U_{ij}) \oplus \prod_i \Omega_{X/k}^1(U_i) \mid \text{for some } (f_i)_i \in \prod_i \mathcal{O}_X(U_i) \right\}}$$

Finally, let us briefly mention that algebraic de Rham cohomology has an obvious functoriality. In fact, if $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of S -schemes, then the canonical morphism $\varphi^* \Omega_{Y/S}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules induces a morphism of complexes $\varphi^* \Omega_{Y/S}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^\bullet$, which yields a natural morphism of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$\varphi^* : \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^n(Y/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^n(X/S).$$

Exercise 1.10. Let X be an elliptic curve over a field k of characteristic 0 and $U = X \setminus \{\infty\}$. Prove that the inclusion $j : U \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism $j^* : H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/k) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^1(U/k)$.

2 The Gauss-Manin connection

For simplicity, we fix a field k of characteristic 0, and we work with smooth k -schemes of finite type X and S .

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth morphism. We will show that, for every $n \geq 0$, there exists a canonical integrable connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S) \otimes \Omega_{S/k}^1.$$

We follow the approach of Katz-Oda (see [1]).

Let us denote for simplicity $\Omega_{X/k}^* = \Omega_X^*$, $\Omega_{S/k}^* = \Omega_S^*$, and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^*(X/S) = \mathcal{H}^*$. Then we can consider the following finite filtration on Ω_X^\bullet :

$$F^i = \mathrm{im}(\pi^* \Omega^i \otimes \Omega^{\bullet-i} \rightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet)$$

where the above map is given by the wedge product. Note that $F^i = 0$ for i greater than the relative dimension of X over S .

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$F^i/F^{i+1} = \pi^* \Omega_S^i \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^{\bullet-i}$$

Proof. Since π is smooth, the sequence $0 \rightarrow \pi^* \Omega_S^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow 0$ is exact and locally split. Thus we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_i \pi^* \Omega_S^i \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^{p-i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigwedge^p \Omega_X^1 = \Omega_X^p$$

and we get

$$F^{i,p} = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} \pi^* \Omega_S^j \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^{p-j}$$

The assertion easily follows. ■

Let $E_1^{p,q} \Rightarrow E^{p+q}$ be the spectral sequence associated to the finite filtration $(F^i)_i$ and the functor π_* .

Lemma 2.2. *We have $E_1^{p,q} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^q(X/S) \otimes \Omega_S^p$.*

Proof. Since Ω_X^1 is locally free, it follows from last lemma and the “projection formula” that

$$E_1^{p,q} = \mathbf{R}^{p+q} \pi_*(F^{p,\bullet}/F^{p+1,\bullet}) = \mathbf{R}^{p+q} \pi_*(\pi^* \Omega_S^p \otimes \Omega_X^{\bullet-p}) = \Omega_S^p \otimes \mathbf{R}^q \pi_*(\Omega_X^\bullet).$$

■

Here is how the first page of this spectral sequence looks like:

$$\mathcal{H}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^2 \otimes \Omega_S^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^2 \otimes \Omega_S^2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

$$\mathcal{H}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1 \otimes \Omega_S^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1 \otimes \Omega_S^2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 \otimes \Omega_S^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 \otimes \Omega_S^2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Note that \mathcal{H}^0 is an \mathcal{O}_S -algebra, and that the above maps $\mathcal{H}^0 \otimes \Omega_S^i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^0 \otimes \Omega_S^{i+1}$ are given by $\mathrm{id} \otimes d$, where $d : \Omega_S^i \rightarrow \Omega_S^{i+1}$ is the usual differential.

Proposition 2.3. *The wedge product of differential forms induces a product structure on the spectral sequence $E_1^{p,q} \Rightarrow E^{p+q}$, that is, a family of bilinear maps*

$$E_r^{p,q} \times E_r^{p',q'} \longrightarrow E_r^{p+p',q+q'}, \quad (e, e') \longmapsto e \cdot e'$$

such that

1. $e \cdot e' = (-1)^{(p+q)(p'+q')} e' \cdot e$
2. $d_r(e \cdot e') = d_r(e) \cdot e' + (-1)^{p+q} e \cdot d_r(e')$.

Proof. The proof is formal and we leave it as an exercise. Note that if ω (resp. η) is a section of F^i (resp. F^j), then $\omega \wedge \eta$ is a section of F^{i+j} . ■

Corollary 2.4. *The differential in page 1*

$$d_1 : \mathcal{H}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^n \otimes \Omega_S^1$$

is an integrable connection.

Proof. That d_1 is a connection follows from property 2 above and from the fact that \mathcal{O}_S injects into \mathcal{H}^0 . It is automatically integrable since we know a priori that $\mathcal{H}^n \otimes \Omega_S^\bullet$ is a complex! ■

This is the Gauss-Manin connection: $\nabla = d_1$. Let us now collect some corollaries of the existence of such connection.

Lemma 2.5. *Let A be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , and suppose that A contains its residue field k . Suppose that for every $a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a derivation $D \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{m}}(Da) < v_{\mathfrak{m}}(a)$, where $v_{\mathfrak{m}}(x) := \max\{i \mid x \in \mathfrak{m}^i\}$. Then every finitely generated A -module with a k -connection is free.*

Proof. Exercise. Hint: if E is a finitely generated A -module with a k -connection, take $e_1, \dots, e_r \in E$ reducing to a basis of $E \otimes_A k$, and show that they are A -linearly independent. ■

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose that π is proper. Then the coherent \mathcal{O}_S -module \mathcal{H}^n is a vector bundle over S .*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that \mathcal{H}^n is locally free. Since S is smooth over k , this follows from the above lemma. Indeed, by flat descent, we can assume $k = \bar{k}$. Let (s_1, \dots, s_m) be local coordinates at a closed point $p \in S$. Then we can lift the derivations $\partial/\partial s_i$ to the completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,p} \cong k[[s_1, \dots, s_m]]$, and now the hypotheses of the above lemma are easy to check. ■

From now on, we keep the hypothesis that π is proper.

Corollary 2.7. *Given a Cartesian square of k -schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \end{array}$$

where S' is also smooth and of finite type over k , there is a canonical isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{S'}$ -modules

$$\varphi^* \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^n(X/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^n(X'/S').$$

In particular, for every closed point $p \in S$, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^n(X/S)(p) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^n(X_p/k_p).$$

Proof. Since $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is proper and the de Rham cohomology sheaves are locally free, this follows from the usual “cohomology and base change” techniques. See [2] Section 8. \blacksquare

There is also a concept of pullback for connections. If (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is a vector bundle with k -connection on S , and $\varphi : S' \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of k -schemes, then the pullback connection $\varphi^*\nabla$ on the vector bundle $\varphi^*\mathcal{E}$ over S' is the unique k -connection such that

$$(\varphi^*\nabla)(\varphi^*e) = \varphi^*(\nabla e) \quad (2.1)$$

for every local section e of \mathcal{E} .

We next state a naturality statement for the Gauss-Manin connection, the proof of which we leave as an exercise: it easily follows from last corollary and from the explicit construction of the Gauss-Manin connection as a differential in a spectral sequence.

Proposition 2.8. *Given a Cartesian square of k -schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \end{array}$$

where S' is also smooth and of finite type over k , if $\nabla : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S) \otimes \Omega_{S/k}^1$ denotes the Gauss-Manin connection, then $\varphi^*\nabla$ is the Gauss-Manin connection on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X'/S')$ after the identification $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X'/S') \cong \varphi^*\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S)$ of last corollary.

There is also a similar result concerning a base change on the base field. Let k' be a field containing k , and consider a diagram of schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ S' & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} k' & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} k \end{array}$$

where both squares are Cartesian. If (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is a vector bundle with a k -connection on S , then there exists a unique k' -connection ∇' on the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \otimes_k k'$ over S' satisfying the same property of (2.1). In particular, if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S)$ and ∇ is the Gauss-Manin connection, then we get a k' -connection

$$\nabla' : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X'/S') \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X'/S') \otimes \Omega_{S'/k'}^1$$

It is not difficult to show, again from the explicit constructions, that ∇' is in fact the Gauss-Manin connection on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X'/S')$.

Finally, let us state a comparison theorem which follows immediately from GAGA and from the “holomorphic Poincaré lemma”.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $k = \mathbf{C}$. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism of vector bundles with connection on S^{an}*

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S)^{\mathrm{an}}, \nabla^{\mathrm{an}}) \cong (R^n \pi_*^{\mathrm{an}} \mathbf{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathcal{O}_{S^{\mathrm{an}}}, \mathrm{id} \otimes d).$$

In particular, if α is a section of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^n(X/S)^{\mathrm{an}}$, and σ is a section of $R_n \pi_*^{\mathrm{an}} \mathbf{Z}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}$, we have

$$d \left(\int_{\sigma} \alpha \right) = \int_{\sigma} \nabla \alpha.$$

The above equation can be used to compute the Gauss-Manin connection explicitly.

References

- [1] Katz, N. M., Oda, T., *On the differentiation of De Rham cohomology classes with respect to parameters*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 8-2, 199-213, 1968.
- [2] Katz, N. M., *Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem : applications of a result of Turritin*. Publications mathématiques de l'I.H.E.S., tome 39, p. 175-232, 1970.